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Vision of Drug Stability Reporting

- Provide stability data in a standard electronic format
- Viewed in human readable format by regulatory agencies and industry
- Multiple transfer uses:
  - Company → Company
  - Testing Lab → Company
  - Company → Regulatory Agency
Stability Message Development

- **~1999** - Original concept for stability data in XML format developed by Naiqu Ya & Jon Clark for OGD
- **Jan 2001** - Development of HL7 Stability Standard started
- **Sept 2005** - Stability Standard is ANSI approved & 1st public draft of IG
- **May 2006** - Published FR notice (Docket No. 2006N-0181 (Product Stability; Data: Notice of Pilot))
- **May 2008** - Product Stability Data Pilot Project Completion Announcement
- **January 2009** - Stability Standard (R2) as Draft Standard for Trial Use (DSTU) and Implementation Guide Pass ballot
- **May 2010** - Stability Standard (R2) approved as HL7 standard
- **May 2011** – Included in normative edition of HL7 messages
Advantages of e-Stability for the FDA

- Improves stability data exchange
  - Companies and reviewers can precisely reference what was submitted
- Reduce review times with the aid of data viewer
  - No need to re-enter data for trending
- Data is validated before it is received
- Facilitates development of software to graph stability trends and view tabular data
- Reviewers can view any subset of the data without contacting the company for an additional graph or table
Advantages of e-Stability for Industry

- Increase efficiency of submissions
  - reduce number of tables & graphs in the CMC section
  - reviewer will be able to view them easily in their tool
- Improve stability data exchange between contract testing labs and companies
- Message does not change the study plan or data capture
- Facilitates the exchange of stability data between different LIMS and OOT analysis packages
- All LIMS vendors to produce a uniform stability report
e-Stability Characteristics

- Part of a larger framework – contents must belong to the HL7 namespace
- Extreme Extensibility
- Aggregation of complex types
- Sparsely populated types
e-Stability Message Structure

Stability Study

Name of study and sponsor

Subject

Particulars of the material being studied

Specification

How the study is conducted

Batch

Particulars of the lot that was tested

Result Set

Results from testing done in accordance with the specification
XML – the Common Language

- Common to both Ya-Clark and HL7
- Only general understanding required
- XML is only a syntax
- An XML “document” is the message in its entirety
- A document is composed of elements and attributes separated by tags
Drug Stability Reporting

- Stability data in a standard electronic format
- Viewed in human readable format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Acceptance Criteria</th>
<th>Analytical Procedure</th>
<th>Component Tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stability (biological)</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>USP-&lt;71&gt; Stability (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance (physical)</td>
<td>Pink round, film-coated tablet scored 99.95% on one side and plain on the other</td>
<td>NMR1 General appearance method (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial Limits (biological)</td>
<td>USP-&lt;96&gt; Microbial Limits (Proprietary)</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container Integrity (physical)</td>
<td>Passed</td>
<td>NMR61 General appearance method (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Container Appearance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Viable Aerobic Count (biological)</td>
<td>&lt;100 CFU/g</td>
<td>2.12Pfu. Ext Total Viable Aerobic count (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azoxy (chemical)</td>
<td>94.5% - 100% of labeled class</td>
<td>NMR42 Azoxy (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH Measurement Average (chemical)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>USP-&lt;79&gt; pH Measurement (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>pH Measurement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution Average (physical)</td>
<td>1hr: Average (n=5) is 20.8 ± 3.1, 4hr: Average is 78.1 ± 7.7, 12 hr: Average is 91.7 ± 7%</td>
<td>NMR72 Dissolution profile (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolution (physical)</td>
<td>1hr: &lt;90%, 4hr: &lt;90%, 12hr: &gt;90%</td>
<td>NMR72 Dissolution Profile (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security - Elemental (chemical)</td>
<td>NMR41 Elemental Security Estimation (Proprietary)</td>
<td>Nickel, Chromium, Lead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention (physical)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>USP-&lt;471&gt; Containers Performance Testing (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water Weight Loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Light Transmission</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Count of Failures (chemical)</td>
<td>NMR1 n=32</td>
<td>NMR42 Embedded (Proprietary)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Individual quality control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Infrastructure

- The schema defines legal element names, their attributes and how they nest
- XML messages can be validated against the schema before it is sent
- The e-Stability standard compels syntactically acceptable data
Implementation Guide Structure

- Introduction that presents the model of the message and broad concepts
- Detailed Description of the elements
- Appendix of codes
- Example message with annotations
UML - The Modeling Language in HL7

Act
- class_cd <= ACT
- mood_cd <= ?

Entity
- class_cd <= ?

Role
- S_role
  - class_cd <= ?

Choice construct for Acts, Roles or Entities

Act

Act_Relationship

SourceOf
typeCode*: <= ActRelationshipDocument

Entity

Participation

Role

Role_Link

DirectAuthorityOver
typeCode*: <= DIAUTH

Note

Entry Point

E_Principal
- code = 21040-0
- A principal is an entity that is able to provide services to a system.
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e-Stability Schema Modeled in UML
Sample Code

```xml
<researchSponsor>
  <id root="D123456789" assigningAuthorityName="Dun and Bradstreet D.U.N.S Number"/>
  <id root="7.3.6.1,4.1,24261" assigningAuthorityName="Internet Assigned Numbers Authority"/>
  <id root="T1234567890" assigningAuthorityName="TDA FEI OID"/>
  <name>up to data professional service GmbH</name>
  <add1>Werkstrasse</add1>
  <postalCode>55286</postalCode>
  <city>Wuppertal</city>
  <country>Germany</country>
</researchSponsor>
```

### StudySponsor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| id   | II   | A set of identifiers used to uniquely identify the study sponsor.
Use the DUNS number as the primary identifier. Other examples can be FEI number or a global unique identifier for the sponsoring organization assigned by IANA. For DUNS number, remove hyphens if present and prefix with "D" and if an FEI number prefix with an "F". The assigning AuthorityName for a DUNS number is "Dun and Bradstreet D.U.N.S Number" and for a FEI number is "TDA FEI OID". A DUNS number and FEI number example are shown here. The assigning authority name is mandatory for all OIDs for organizations. Always list the DUNS number first if listing multiple numbers. It is the submitter's responsibility to ensure that the DUNS number is along with the firm's postal code (if any) and country match the DUNS number, postal code and country in the Dun and Bradstreet database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attributes</th>
<th>Root</th>
<th>Identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assigningAuthorityName</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displayable</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This identifier should be the same for one organization within all submissions of one company. The provided identifiers should be chosen in a way, that if a company has more than one location (e.g., with different addresses), the identifier is specific for this location (same address – same number).

| name | CN   | Name of the organization sponsoring the study. |
|      |      | N    | M          |
| addr | AD   | Address of the organization.                 |
|      |      | O    | M          |
IG - Identify Mandatory Data

- Scan IG for M in the F column
- Note where your organization stores the information
- Identify gaps
- Missing test dates or testing sites = RTF
How Deep?

- Software developer working with eStability requires an understanding of the modeling language
- Stability managers do not go that deep
- Go as deep into the eStability model and message as far as you are technically capable
- Hire capable people to go deeper
- Look to IT and Regulatory Operations groups for support
Style-sheet

- FDA funded development of style sheet
- Return of Ya – Clark view of stability
- http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/stabilitydata/stylesheet/eStability.xsl
- Demo style sheet
Valid Messages

- FDA funded development of a Schematron for eStability
- Validate your messages at http://estability.prometheuscomputing.com/app/desktop
Code Systems

- NCI maintains stability code lists
- **Code lists are specific to Stability**
- Updated by NCI on monthly basis
- Request codes if desired term in not found
Preparedness for e-Stability

- All companies will face unique circumstances
- Division of work by function and distribution of responsibilities
- Timelines and sense of urgency will vary
- Until it is mandated, it does not grab attention
- Drivers – Regulatory, stability data experts, IT?
- Implementation
  - Short term – identify gaps
  - Long term - identify solution
Seven Steps

1. Identify key stakeholders
   - Regulatory Affair
   - Regulatory Operations
   - Stability Study managers
2. Create a steering committee
3. Identifying Data Sources
   - LIMS
   - Specifications
   - DUNS numbers - Testing sites, even foreign sites will need a DUNS number
Seven Steps – Continued

4. Choose Identifiers - OIDs or GUIDs

5. Identify the best method to create the messages
   - Extension to eCTD tools
   - Stand alone product
   - Extension to LIMS
   - Outsourced conversion by service providers

Deciding factors include:
- Expected costs and budget process
- Timelines
- Volume of messages submitted annually
- In-house XML expertise
Seven Steps

6. Decide levels in the test definitions

7. Develop Standards awareness
Current Status

- **FDA Data Standards Pages** – always check for updates

- Completed Infrastructure
  - Style sheet
  - Implementation Guide
  - Schematron
  - NCI Codes
  - Validation Guide

- October 2011 PhRMA, CDER and OPS meeting
  - Concerns
    - Cost (getting information to XML)
    - Getting vendors on board without message mandate
    - Usability of the standard by the rest of the world
  - Data presentation in style sheet well received
  - Next step - CFR Q&A for eStability for comment