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Deterministic leak test methods

• Tracer gas detection (vacuum mode)
• Vacuum and pressure decay
• Mass extraction
• Laser-based gas headspace analysis
• Electrical conductivity and capacitance (High voltage leak detection)
Tracer Gas Detection (Vacuum Mode)

- **Detection**: Tracer gas leakage rate in mass flow units
- **Technology**
  - Quantitative measurement of tracer gas leak rate from a gas-charged test sample into a vacuum chamber
  - Output analyzed by spectrometric means
  - Leak rate calculated by normalizing results by the concentration of tracer gas in the test sample
  - Calibrated leak standards used to verify method accuracy

Reference ASTM F2391
• **Test**

  - Flood helium tracer gas (or perhaps hydrogen) into test sample. Use restraint mechanism for flexible package or package with non-fixed components as required.
  
  - Place test sample in vacuum chamber connected to spectroscopic analyzer specific for tracer gas (for helium: mass spec analysis).
  
  - At test start, chamber is evacuated and tracer gas is drawn into analyzer; gas mass flow rate is reported. Normalize findings based on test sample tracer gas concentration.

Reference  ASTM F2391
Tracer Gas Detection (Vacuum Mode)

- **Sensitivity & Quantitative**
  - Mass spectrometer as detector

- **Selectivity**
  - Low atmospheric interference:
    - Helium in the atmosphere (~5 ppm)
  - Do need to minimize lab ambient helium and permeation

- **Flows through cracks ~2.7x faster than air**
The HLD can be used in different ways depending on your objective:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOCATION OF THE LEAK</th>
<th>GLOBAL TEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- maintenance application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- quality control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- industrial application</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- quality control</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SPRAYING TEST**
(vacuum method)
- the system or the UUT can be placed under vacuum.
- you need to detect very small leaks.

**SNIFFING TEST**
(test is performed at atmospheric pressure)
- the system or the UUT:
  > cannot be placed under vacuum
  > can be charged with helium
- the sensitivity is not a major issue

**SNIFFING TEST WITH ACCUMULATION**
(test is performed at atmospheric pressure)
- the system or the UUT:
  > cannot be placed under vacuum
  > can be charged with helium
- the sensitivity is not a major issue

**GLOBAL HARD VACUUM TEST**
(Vacuum Method)
- the system or the UUT:
  > can be placed under vacuum
  > can be charged with helium
- you need to detect very small leaks.

Slide courtesy of Pfeiffer Vacuum
Requirements

• Package
  • Nonporous, rigid; all types of vials, bottles, syringes
  • Flexible or non-fixed components may employ optional restraint mechanism; blisters, pouches, bags
  • Small volume to large volume
  • Ability to tolerate high test vacuum
  • Limited tracer gas permeability (plastics with limited helium permeability, glass, metal)

• Product: Tracer gas only must be present at leak site

• Best performed on empty test sample. Product drawn into analyzer may cause instrument damage.
• Generally performed off-line in R&D for inherent CCI verification.
• Can be employed in manufacturing for line set-up
Limitations

• Permeation of tracer gas through package can be mistaken for leakage
• Tracer gas escape through large leaks before test performed can lead to false negatives
  • May be necessary to utilize headspace analyzer to adjust leak rate results
• Tracer gas background in testing environment can influence test results
• Proper fixturing is important to capture leakage site of interest
• Requires seconds to minutes per test sample
Merits

• Highly sensitive and quantitative method
• Even long, tortuous leaks can be detected; Blocked leak paths prevent detection
• Detection range: 0.01 µm to mm may be possible
• Non-destructive, IF tracer gas introduction into test sample poses no threat to product sterility/quality
• Requires seconds to minutes per test sample
**Detection:** Gas or volatilized product leakage rate in pressure rise units

**Technology**

- Quantitative measure of pressure rise (vacuum decay) typically within an evacuated test chamber housing a test sample, resulting from test sample headspace leakage or liquid product volatilization
- Output compared to that of leak rate standards, plus pre-determined limits using no-leak and with-leak controls

Reference ASTM F2338
Vacuum Decay Test

Vacuum – Pressure decay

Standard vacuum decay => vacuum levels between 300 – 900 mbar

4 Phases => Complete testing cycle of approx. 6 sec.
for 10 μm for in-lie applications or
5 μm with single station

Slide courtesy of Wilco
Vacuum Decay Test

Vacuum – Pressure decay

Deep vacuum decay => absolute testing pressure below triple point of water

4 Phases => Capabilities of detecting leaks down to 8 μm for in-line application

Slide courtesy of Wilco
**Requirements**

- Generally performed off-line in R&D and stability for CCI verification

- Formulations
  - For gas at leak site, sufficient headspace volume required
  - For liquid at leak site, solution formulations capable of volatilization at test pressure required

- Packages
  - All types of vials, bottles, ampoules, syringes, blisters, pouches, bags, etc.
  - Small volume to large volume
  - Plastics, glass, metal
  - Rigid to flexible (with restraint mechanism)
  - Porous if mechanism for blocking porous structure employed
Limitations

- Solidified product or debris in leak path may block leak detection
- Test fixture or restraint mechanism at test sample site of contact may block leak path
- Volatiles or liquids drawn into test system can cause damage
- Requires several seconds to minutes per test sample
Merits

• Nondestructive
• Detection range: 2-5 µm to mm may be possible
  • Detection Limits Varies with:
    • Leak size, type, length, blockage
    • Package internal volume, flexibility, outgassing
    • Test system volume, test system outgassing, sensitivity of pressure transducers
    • Test time, target vacuum

• Requires several seconds to minutes per test sample
• May be performed on-line in manufacturing (larger leak detection)
**ASTM F2338-09**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Method Sequence of Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a. Vacuum drawn during FILL Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b. Vacuum source is shut OFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pressure rise monitored during EQUALIZATION and TEST Times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pressure rise monitored during TEST Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Equalization**
   - Vacuum drawn during FILL Time
   - Vacuum source is shut OFF

2. **Pressure rise monitored during**
   - EQUALIZATION and TEST Times
   - 1000 Torr Transducer (mbar)

3. **Pressure rise monitored during**
   - TEST Time
   - 10 Torr Transducer (Pa)
• **Detection**: Gas leakage rate in pressure decay units

• **Technology**
  - Quantitative measure of pressure decay within a pressurized test sample
  - Output compared to that of leak rate standards, plus pre-determined limits using no-leak and with-leak controls

**Reference**    ASTM F2095 (for flexible packages)
Pressure decay test

Vacuum – Pressure decay

Pressure decay => pressure levels between 300 – 900 mbar

4 Phases => Complete testing cycle of approx. 6 seconds for 10 μm
Requirements

• Formulations
  • Gas only must be present at leak site
  • Product must not obstruct leak path

• Packages
  • All types of vials, bottles, syringes, pouches, bags, etc.
  • Small volume to large volume to bulk containers
  • Plastics, glass, metal
  • Rigid to flexible to non-fixed components (restraint mechanism may be required)
  • Manufacturing equipment, incl. tanks, aseptic isolation systems

• Generally performed off-line in R&D for inherent CCI verification
• Can be performed on packages on-line in manufacturing (e.g., empty bottle test)
• Can be performed in manufacturing to check equipment/line integrity
Limitations

- Solidified or liquid product or debris in leak path may block leak detection
- Test requires several seconds (small volume test samples) to days (largest volume samples), depending on test sample volume and leak limit of detection required
Merits

• Nondestructive: If gas introduction into test sample poses no threat to product sterility/quality
• Detection range: 2-5 µm to mm may be possible
• Detection limit varies with:
  • Leak size, type, length, material of construction, blockage
  • Package internal volume, flexibility
  • Test time; target pressure; gas temperature and humidity control; pressure sensor sensitivity
• Can be performed on packages on-line in manufacturing (e.g., empty bottle test)
• Test requires several seconds (small volume test samples) to days (largest volume samples), depending on test sample volume and leak limit of detection required
Pressure Decay Test / Vacuum Decay Test

Vacuum – Pressure decay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure decay</th>
<th>Vacuum decay</th>
<th>Deep vacuum decay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8-10 um</td>
<td>8-10 um</td>
<td>8-10 um</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-10 um</td>
<td>For freeze dried products 8-10 um</td>
<td>5-8 um</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Headspace volume required
- Headspace volume required
- Regardless of headspace

- Trapped air effect
- Clogging effect due to proteinaceous solutions as well as sucrose or salts
- Positive controls like laser drilled holes or capillaries with use of real product
Mass Extraction (aka Mass Flow Test)

- **Detection**: Gas or volatilized product leakage rate in mass flow rate units
- **Technology**
  - Quantitative measure of gas mass flow rate within an evacuated test chamber housing a test sample, resulting from test sample headspace leakage or liquid product volatilization
  - Output compared to that of leak rate standards, plus pre-determined limits using no-leak and with-leak controls. Multiple vacuum stages can be used to check for larger or smaller leaks
- **ASTM F3287**
Method of Operation - Mass Extraction

1 Standby - Vacuum Reservoir: $P_0$
- IGLS: no flow

2 Evacuate - All branches: $P_0$
- IGLS: no flow
- UUT: leaks

3 Stabilize - Leak: increases $P_{\text{chamber}}$
- IGLS: begins flow

4 Test - Steady flow thru leak
- IGLS: measures flow thru leak
Example: Flow Measurement- Signature Test (vial with and without 2µ leak)

Note: The Smaller Defect Size Mass Extraction is set to detect (Limit Of Detection)- the lower is the customer risk.

Slide courtesy of ATC
Mass Extraction Robustness Test

Robustness study is an indicator for long term reliability. Study included multiple operators, testing days, and two stand-alone instruments were used to understand variations of the method. A total of 12 different combinations including two extreme vial sizes (2 and 50 mL) were incorporated into the design and 6 vials per combination were tested. For the syringe study, a total of 8 combinations were incorporated into the design and 90 syringes per combination were tested.


Mass Extraction Container Closure Integrity Physical Testing Method Development for Parenteral Container Closure Systems; by: SEUNG-YIL YOON, HEMI SAGI, CRAIG GOLDFRITTER, and LEI LI
Requirements for Usage

• Formulations
  • Gas headspace of sufficient volume at leak site required, OR
  • Liquid (capable of volatilization) must be present at leak site

• Packages
  • All types of vials, bottles, ampoules, syringes, pouches, bags, etc.
  • Small volume to large volume
  • Plastics, glass
  • Rigid to flexible (with restraint mechanism)
  • Porous if mechanism for blocking porous structure employed
Limitations

- Solidified product or debris in leak path may block leak detection
- Test fixture or restraint mechanism at test sample site of contact may block leak path
- Volatiles or liquids drawn into test system can cause damage
- Generally performed off-line
- Used in all product life cycle phases
- Requires several seconds to minutes per test sample
**Merits**

- Nondestructive
- Simple and fast (1-2 min/sample)
- Flexible
  - Containers of various sizes and geometries
  - Applicable to injection devices
- Sensitive: 2 µm capable, typically 5-10 µm
  - Detection limit varies with:
    - Leak size, type, length, blockage
    - Package flexibility, size, outgassing
    - Test system volume, test system outgassing, sensitivity of pressure transducers and mass flow meter
    - Test time, target vacuum
Detection: Gas headspace content

Technology

• Quantitative measure by laser-based analysis of oxygen concentration, carbon dioxide concentration, water vapor pressure and total absolute pressure
• Output compared to that of standard gas mixtures
• Signal is proportional to the pressure/analyte concentration
• Application to CCIT: A change in headspace gas over time can be detected, either naturally occurring or using sample conditioning
Laser Based Gas Headspace Analysis

Requirements

- Package: nonporous, transparent or translucent, amber or colorless
- Product: headspace gas volume, path length and content must be compatible with instrument capability

What gases can be measured?

- Headspace oxygen
- Headspace carbon dioxide
- Headspace moisture (water vapor)
- Headspace total pressure levels

Slide courtesy of Lighthouse
Laser Based Gas Headspace Analysis

Modified Atmosphere

**Product**
Stopped under a modified atmosphere

Lyophilized product:
Partial vacuum with nitrogen or full vacuum

Oxygen sensitive liquids:
Purged with nitrogen

**Storage Condition**
Ambient air

**Leak Indicating Measurement**
Increase in oxygen level and/or increase in pressure.

**Application**
Laboratory instruments or fully automated inspection

Slide courtesy of Lighthouse
Laser Based Gas Headspace Analysis

Non-Modified Atmosphere

Product
Stopped under a non-modified atmosphere. Headspace is air at 1 atm
Typical of many liquids

Storage Conditions
Must put container in a chamber to drive a headspace change (similar to Blue Dye testing, but HSA is more sensitive and doesn’t involve dye). After a pre-determined conditioning time then remove and test in a headspace analyzer.

Leak Indicating Measurement
Decrease in oxygen level/increase in CO2/decrease in pressure level.

Application
Laboratory instruments

Chamber that can be purged or evacuated

Nitrogen/CO2

Vacuum

Air
1 atm

---

Slide courtesy of Lighthouse
Limitations

• Vendors vary in capability of gases and package materials/designs that can be tested
• Faster test speed can raise standard deviation of findings
• Test sample outer surface moisture may hamper test performance
• Test sample temperature influences gas pressure and moisture readings
• Not a direct measure of leakage
• Leakage can be calculated by analysis of sample headspace change as a function of time
• Change of headspace due to permeation should be considered
**Merits**

- Nondestructive
- Sensitive: <0.1µm to largest leaks are possible
- Can be performed on- or off-line
- Can provide just-in-time headspace content analysis
- Used in all product life cycle phases
- Extremely rapid test (<1s to a few seconds per test sample)
  - Longer time required for increased sensitivity
- Multiple formulation types; liquids, lyophilized or dry powder, API, etc.
- Multiple packages; vials, bottles, ampoules, syringes, small to large volume, plastic, glass, transparent, translucent, amber, colorless
Electrical Conductivity and Capacitance Test aka High Voltage Leak Detection (HVLD)

C₁ – volume btw. inspection electrode & the product
R – Liquid in the vial/syringe
C₂ – volume btw. detection electrode & the product

V – High Voltage Source
R – Electric Resistance of the product
C₁ – Capacitor 1: Glass between the inspection electrode and product
C₂ – Capacitor 2: Glass between the detection electrode and product
I₁ – current produced when product container is sealed
I₂ – current produced when product container is defective

Good Sample

Leak

2 capacitors

1 capacitor
High Voltage Leak Detection (HVLD)

- **Detection**: Liquid presence in or near leak path
- **Technology**
  - Quantitative electrical conductance and capacity measurement
  - Measured current output judged against pre-set Pass/Fail limit
Limitations

• Sample is exposed to hi-frequency, hi-voltage, low-amperage (AC) current via an electrode that passes the test sample
  o Electrical current frequency is a vendor-specific proprietary parameter
  o Electrodes differ in design and material.
  o Electrodes either contact or pass near the test sample surface
• Potential for product degradation, must assess product stability
• Liquid must be presence at leak site
• Product must be liquid or gel
• Product must be more electrically conductive than package.
• Product must be nonflammable
Merits

- Non destructive (reports of product degradation from ozone generation in headspace during analysis, product stability should be assessed)
- Sensitive: 2 – 5 μm size leaks (possibly much smaller). Varies with below parameters
  - Leak size, length, presence of product (liquid to solid) near or in leak
  - Test scan speed
  - Probe design and material of construction, proximity of probe to leak/liquid
  - Ground design, material of construction, position relative to the test sample
  - Current voltage/amperage/frequency setting
  - Ground sensitivity setting
  - Product vs. package conductivity
- Whole package surfaces or single point inspection possible
- Probe types include carbon brushes, metal probes, metallic beaded strands
- Can be performed on- or off-line
- Used in all product life cycle phases
- Extremely rapid test (<1s to a few seconds per package)
- Multiple packages: nonporous, rigid or flexible
- HLVD not influenced by protein presence
High Voltage Leak Detection (HVLD)

Detection effectiveness of defects “clogged” by proteinaceous products

### Leak detection vs. Defect size & type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole size (μ)</th>
<th>Package contents</th>
<th># Packages tested</th>
<th># Packages ID’d as LEAKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuum decay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No helium leak tests were performed on holed vial packages post vacuum decay tests.*

Detection effectiveness of defects “clogged” by proteinaceous products

### Leak detection vs. Product formulation and storage time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vial hole size (μ)</th>
<th>Packages tested (#)</th>
<th># Packages ID’ed as LEAKING DAY 1</th>
<th># Packages ID’ed as LEAKING DAY 29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuum decay</td>
<td>HVLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRODUCT-FILLED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PLACEBO-FILLED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preliminary studies indicated that the "clogging" is most likely caused by proteinaceous drug (as opposed to other excipients or solution viscosity variations).